

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,363	11/19/2003	Toshihiro Koyama	0020-5200P	3773
2292 7590 01/11/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAMINER RUTHKOSKY, MARK	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/715,363	KOYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark Ruthkosky	1795			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Oct      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:					

10/715,363 Art Unit: 1795

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/29/2007 has been entered.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection of claims 2-3, 8 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite has been overcome by applicant's amendment to the claims. Support for the amendment is disclosed on page 8, paragraph 2 of the original specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kizu et al. (US 2003/0165739) in view of Takami et al. (US 5,753,387) and further in view of Ohsaki et al.

Application/Control Number:

10/715,363 Art Unit: 1795

(US 5,856,043) OR over Ohsaki et al. (US 5,856,043) in view of Kizu et al. (US 2003/0165739) and further in view of Takami et al. (US 5,753,387)

Kizu et al. (US 2003/0165739) teaches a negative electrode for a non-aqueous secondary cell comprising a graphite active material (p. 128), a conductive material including carbon black (p. 141) and a binder (p. 132; p. 128-141.) Graphite is taught as the active material. The lattice spacing is preferably 0.3355-0.3380 (p. 132.) The specific surface area is preferably 1.5-3 m²/g (p. 154.) Carbon black is used as a conductive material. The conductive material is preferably less than 1 μm (p. 60-71) and 5 μm (p. 141.) The amount of conductive material is preferably 22-8%. As the size of the carbon black conductive material is not to be more than 5 μm, the ratio of particles of carbon black is greater than 10%. The negative electrode material comprises particles having an aspect ratio of 1.0 to 5.0 and a largest particle size of 10 μm or less. The preferred particle size is 5-10 microns (p. 137 and 141.) Binders are noted (128.) The reference teaches the battery in an electronic device (col. 1.) The reference is silent to and thus does not teach the electrode to include an aqueous binder. The reference does not teach the density of the negative electrode.

While the references teach that carbonaceous materials of the electrode having a density of at least 1.5 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, the references are silent to and thus do not disclose the density of the negative electrode. Ohsaki et al. (US 5,856,043) teaches a negative electrode for a non-aqueous secondary cell comprising graphite, carbon black and a binder (col. 3, line 40 to col. 6, line 30; col. 4, lines 23-30; col. 5, lines 1-20; col. 6, lines 25-30), wherein said carbon black comprises particles having an aspect ratio in the range of 1.0 to 5.0 and a largest particle size of 1-10 and

10/715,363

Art Unit: 1795

preferably 2-5  $\mu$ m, wherein said negative electrode has a density of preferably 1.5-1.8 g/cm<sup>3</sup> (col. 7, lines 5-15.) The references do not teach an aqueous binder.

Takami et al. teaches a lithium secondary battery comprising graphite, an amorphous carbon and a binder (col. 4, line 61 to col. 6, line 15; and cols. 7-9, line 5.) Carbon black is an amorphous carbon material. The lattice spacing is preferably not more than 0.340 and the density is 1.8 g/cm<sup>3</sup> (col. 8, line 22.) The specific surface area is preferably 0.1-5 m<sup>2</sup>/g (col. 7, line 1-10.) The negative electrode material comprises particles having an aspect ratio of 2.0 to 10.0. The preferred particle size is 5-10 microns. CMC and SBR binders are noted. The reference teaches mixing and pressing the electrode materials (col. 17, lines 50-55.) The reference teaches the battery in an electronic device (col. 1.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the binders taught in Takami et al. in the battery of Kizu et al. (US 2003/0165739) and Ohsaki et al. (US 5,856,043) in order to bind the electrode materials in the form of an electrode. The reference shows that these materials effectively bind the electrode materials in a lithium battery.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to prepare a negative electrode having a density of at least 1.5 g/cm<sup>3</sup> because the prior art recognizes that if the packing density is too low, the electric conductivity of the electrode becomes lowered and advantages in load characteristics and safety are lost ('043, col. 7, lines 5-15.) The artesian would have found the claimed invention to be obvious in light of the teachings of the references.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/19/2007 and 10/29/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that aqueous binders have a larger binding effect than non-aqueous solvents, but that a larger binding effect may have an adverse effect in some embodiments. This argument is not persuasive. The cited prior art teaches both aqueous and nonaqueous solvent type binders. Each type is used in a carbonaceous anode of a lithium battery. Thus, one skilled in the art would recognize that either type of binder may be used in such an electrode in order to achieve the desired binding properties for the electrode. With regard to the newly added limitation that the negative electrode has a density of at least 1.5 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, the new rejection of record addresses this limitation.

#### Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Ruthkosky whose telephone number is 571-272-1291. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEX schedule (generally, Monday-Thursday from 9:00-6:30.) If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free.)

Mark Ruthkosky

**Primary Patent Examiner** 

Art Unit 1745

Mah / ththy 1.4.2008